## SECTION ON COURTS, LAWYERS AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BAR

STATEMENT OF THE SECTION ON COURTS, LAWYERS AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BAR CONCERNING THE NEED FOR ADEQUATE FUNDING FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT SYSTEM

Susan L. Bloch
Joy A. Chapper
Anthony C. Epstein
Stephen H. Glickman, Co-Chair
Robin E. Jacobsohn, Co-Chair\*
Arthur B. Spitzer
Donna L. Wulkan

Steering Committee, Section on Courts, Lawyers and the Administration of Justice

April 27, 1998

\*Principal author

## STANDARD DISCLAIMER

The views expressed herein represent only those of the Section on Courts, Lawyers and the Administration of Justice of the District of Columbia Bar and not those of the Bar or its Board of Governors.

## STATEMENT OF THE SECTION ON COURTS, LAWYERS AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BAR CONCERNING THE NEED FOR ADEQUATE FUNDING FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT SYSTEM

This statement comes from the D.C. Bar Section on Courts, Lawyers and the Administration of Justice, whose mandate includes protecting the fair and efficient administration of justice in the District, particularly with respect to issues such as judicial administration and procedure. We write out of a desire to ensure that the D.C. court system receives the requisite funding to carry out its essential mission.

While the District of Columbia courts are among the busiest of any judicial system in this country, they are also one of the most effective and efficient parts of the District government. That is why the Revitalization Act was intentionally crafted to permit continued self-management of the local court system, and to preserve the fiscal integrity of that system.

We are concerned because the local courts have expressed doubts about their ability to carry out their responsibilities within the constraints of their existing budget, and have submitted a supplemental budget request to accommodate some of the shortfall that they anticipate. We believe it is essential that, consistent with its laudatory goals, the Revitalization Act be implemented in a way that does not threaten the continued success of our local court system. Without expressing a view about the individual components of that supplemental request, we therefore urge the relevant decision makers to ensure that the D.C. court system receives adequate funding so that it may continue its efforts to serve the citizens of the District in a fair, timely, and efficient manner.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The views expressed herein represent only those of the Courts, Lawyers and the Administration of Justice Section of the District of Columbia Bar and not those of the D.C. Bar or of its Board of Governors.

Eleanor Acheson, Esquire
Assistant Attorney General
Office of Policy Development
United States Department of Justice
Constitution Avenue and Tenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

Re:

The Need for Adequate Funding for the District of Columbia Court System

Dear Ms. Acheson:

We are writing on behalf of the D.C. Bar Section on Courts, Lawyers and the Administration of Justice, whose mandate includes protecting the fair and efficient administration of justice in the District, particularly with respect to issues such as judicial administration and procedure. We write out of a desire to ensure that the D.C. court system receives the requisite funding to carry out its essential mission.

While the District of Columbia courts are among the busiest of any judicial system in this country, they are also one of the most effective and efficient parts of the District government. That is why the Revitalization Act was intentionally crafted to permit continued self-management of the local court system, and to preserve the fiscal integrity of that system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The views expressed herein represent only those of the Courts, Lawyers and the Administration of Justice Section of the District of Columbia Bar and not those of the D.C. Bar or of its Board of Governors.

May 13, 1998 Page 2

We are concerned because the local courts have expressed doubts about their ability to carry out their responsibilities within the constraints of their existing budget, and have submitted a supplemental budget request to accommodate some of the shortfall that they anticipate. We believe it is essential that, consistent with its laudatory goals, the Revitalization Act be implemented in a way that does not threaten the continued success of our local court system. Without expressing a view about the individual components of that supplemental request, we therefore urge you to ensure that the D.C. court system receives adequate funding so that it may continue its efforts to serve the citizens of the District in a fair, timely, and efficient manner.

Very truly yours,

Stephen H. Glickman Robin E. Jacobsohn

Co-Chairs, D.C. Bar Section on Courts, Lawyers and the Administration of Justice