

Conflicts of Interest

You Can't Be Everyone's
Best Friend—or Their Lawyer, Either



Claude E. Ducloux
Attorney At Law

Board Certified | Texas Board of Legal Specialization
Civil Trial Law | Civil Appellate Law

Director of Education – LawPay

Presented at
Practice 360 – D.C Bar
May 19, 2017

- 4 common conflict scenarios which prohibit representation
- What are the “Encounter Points” which can create/alter Conflicts
- How to Make “Full” Disclosure
- 3 Questions A Lawyer asks
- Duties of a lawyer when Conflicts Arise
- Doing business with a client - ethically
- A close look at some recent Conflict cases
- Best Advice

(a) A lawyer shall not reveal information relating to the representation of a client unless the client gives informed consent, the disclosure is impliedly authorized in order to carry out the representation or the disclosure is permitted by paragraph (b).

(b) A lawyer may reveal information relating to the representation of a client to the extent the lawyer reasonably believes necessary:

(1) to prevent reasonably certain death or substantial bodily harm;

(2) to prevent the client from committing a crime or fraud that is reasonably certain to result in substantial injury to the financial interests or property of another and in furtherance of which the client has used or is using the lawyer's services;

ABA Model Rule 1.6(b)

- (3) to prevent, mitigate or rectify substantial injury to the financial interests or property of another that is reasonably certain to result or has resulted from the client's commission of a crime or fraud in furtherance of which the client has used the lawyer's services;
- (4) to secure legal advice about the lawyer's compliance with these Rules;
- (5) to establish a claim or defense on behalf of the lawyer in a controversy between the lawyer and the client, to establish a defense to a criminal charge or civil claim against the lawyer based upon conduct in which the client was involved, or to respond to allegations in any proceeding concerning the lawyer's representation of the client;

(6) to comply with other law or a court order;
or

(7) to detect and resolve conflicts of interest arising from the lawyer's change of employment or from changes in the composition or ownership of a firm, but only if the revealed information would not compromise the attorney-client privilege or otherwise prejudice the client.

(c) A lawyer shall make reasonable efforts to prevent the inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure of, or unauthorized access to, information relating to the representation of a client.

- The Disciplinary Rules of Your State:
Most States have “versions” of the ABA Model Rules;
- State Case law
- The Opinions of the Professional Ethics Committee of your State

Historical Biblical Derivation: Matthew 6:24: “No man can serve two masters...”

1

Litigation:
Representing parties in the same litigation.

2

Business:

Representing someone in a “Substantially Related” matter where interests are Materially and Directly Adverse.

3

Other Duties:

Representation when it “Reasonably Appears” your duties to another client, third party or to your firm conflict.

4

Past Matter

Previous client's interest conflict when a dispute arises with same matter/ same parties.

Conflicts Arise in Three Primary Encounter Points:

1. Initial Contact
2. Introduction of New Parties/Counsel
3. Unexpected Change in Circumstance

Initial Contact Problems

1. Unsolicited information in emails, letters and phone calls: protect yourself!
2. During interviews: you need good interview skills! Don't talk to someone for 45 minutes and then discover the conflict. Ask “who is involved?” EARLY ON.

Good lawyers learn to “vet” potential conflicts early in any new interview!

(The “first 5 minutes” rule: always determine, ...
“Who are the parties and entities involved.”)

Remember: the client who pours out his heart to you has justifiably “formed an attorney client relationship.”

Know what to do when you discover an early conflict –

1. Inform client
2. Return any documents or information
3. Disclaimer: “I cannot represent you”
4. Destroy digital information which can't be returned

Firms are advised to have a click tab requiring a person making an unsolicited contact via a firm website to waive confidentiality:

WARNING: “DO NOT SEND or include any information..if you consider the information confidential. By sending this email you agree that the information does not create a lawyer-client relationship,..and that any information is not confidential and not privileged.”

- Although there is no legal/ethical requirement to require such a warning tab, it is the **ONLY WAY** to protect yourself from the conflict of interest, fiduciary duty obligations with reference to prospective confidential information from prospective clients.
- Without such warning: You can't merely delete; No presumption that Client should not expect reasonable privacy.
- Thus, without the warning, your acquisition and use of information from prospective clients for yourself or another client is judged under the Disciplinary Rules.

Introduction of New Parties

Most often this occurs when:

1. A conflicted party comes into a deal, a contract, a lawsuit, or
 2. A new lawyer is hired by firm, creating conflict,
- ..and the rules prohibit you from staying in the case.

When it happens: Know how to get out.

Your Activities & Businesses

The third primary area when conflicts develop are:
the Lawyer's Own Activities:

- Doing Business with Client
- Having Business Conflicts with Client
- Lawyer Serving on Organizations
- Law Firm's Business Interests, including Partners and Associates (including real estate)

- Lawyer is a Member of Organization & Counsel to same
- Taking Inconsistent Legal Positions before Agencies or Courts
- Representing both Officers and Organization itself

- Representing both Employee and Company or Agency (multiple representation) [Use “Tag-a-long counsel”]
- Imputed Disqualification (Partner/Associate)

1

Ask yourself:
Between Parties:
Will my representation
of **A** be materially and
directly adverse
to the interest of **B**?

2

To Third Parties:

Do I have any duties to third parties which will limit my representation of either A or B?

3

My own or my firm's interests:

Is my representation
of **A** or **B** adversely limited
by my own interests?

The lawyer has the duty to bring up the conflict (not the Client)

The lawyer has the duty to make sufficient disclosure.

The lawyer has the duty to secure permission.

The lawyer has the duty to withdraw when appropriate.

Complete Disclosure AND Permission

- Mere disclosure is not sufficient
- Lawyer must still “reasonably believe” that their interests will not be affected by joint representation
- DISCLOSURE MUST MEET ABA Rule STANDARDS

- 1 The **existence** of the conflict
- 2 The “**nature**” of the conflict
- 3 The **implications** of the conflict
- 4 Possible **adverse consequences** of common representation
- 5 **Advantages** of common representation

- Must be fair to client
- Must have full disclosure
- Must have a writing to protect yourself
- Give client the right to seek independent advice
- **GET THE CONSENT IN WRITING !**

- Firm may use “Chinese Wall” (now referred to as “Screening”)
- Must notify Agency where you worked – the Agency has a right to know and object.

- Incoming lawyer must be screened from participation in possible conflict
- “Screened” means a written firm plan, no use of files, no access to database, and no participation in firm conferences about the case
- Be prepared to testify as to implementation of the “Screen”

In Re RSR Corporation, Texas Supreme Court, 13-0499, December 4, 2015

RSR represented by Bickel & Brewer, sues Inppamet, (a Chilean manufacturer of mining anodes) for, *inter alia*, breach of a licensing agreement and misappropriating trade secrets.

Sobarzo is a disaffected Inppamet employee who resigns from Inppamet in 2010, taking 2.3GB of data with him, including 17,000 emails and including attorney-client communications between Inppamet and lawyers.

SOBARZO offers these documents/emails to **RSR's ATTORNEYS!** The Attorneys accept and review them.

Inppamet moves to disqualify RSR's Attys because they have **“freely viewed the documents Sobarzo took,”** many of which were allegedly privileged or confidential.

Court disqualifies Attorneys, citing:

In Re American Home Products, 985 S.W.2d 68 (Tex. 1998) and finding that lawyers *should have screened* Sobarzo from participating in the case.

THE ISSUE: What is the “standard” that applies to fact witnesses divulging information about a former employer?

AND, is that different from how we treat a legal assistant moving between firms?

TX Supreme Ct holds *American Home Products* (1998) applies to law firm employees.

It's the Wrong Standard in this case, which is a third party former employee of opposing party.

The correct standard to guide the Trial Court is ***In Re Meador*, 968 S.W.2d 346 (Tex. 1998).**

Supreme Court says it is “clear... Sobarzo supplied significant information regarding Inppamet ...”

Supreme Court says under *American Home Products*, there is a presumption that the firm is irreparably tainted by not shielding the legal assistant, thus “genuine threat” exists that they’ll use the information.

But Sobarza is a fact witness and a different standard applies.

So, what happens when “someone” drops confidential information in your lap?

Tx. Sup. Court remanded it to Trial Court to discuss **these factors**:

1. Whether the attorney **knew or should have known** that the material was privileged.
2. The **promptness** with which the attorney notifies the opposing side of receipt of privileged information.
3. The **extent** to which the attorney reviews and digests the privileged information.
4. The **significance** of the privileged information: prejudicing a claim or defense and the extent to which return may mitigate the prejudice.
5. The extent to which the **Movant** may be **at fault** for unauthorized disclosure.
6. The extent the non-Movant will suffer **prejudice** from the disqualification of his or her attorney.

You still don't have the right to review privileged documents produced surreptitiously by a former Employee.

SO.. Don't look at documents provided by a disaffected employee, and if they arrive, notify the other party.

Where do we stand today?

Lawyers – Secretaries - Employees

Referenced cases find three presumptions:

- Courts recognize that when a lawyer or staff member works on a client matter, there is a conclusive presumption that confidential information was imparted to the lawyer or staff member.
- A second conclusive presumption is that a **lawyer** moving to new firm shares the client's confidential information with the lawyers in the new firm.
- However, when a staff member moves to a new firm, there is a presumption that staff member shares clients confidential information but that presumption is not conclusive and it can be overcome by implementation of appropriate screening procedures.
- See Opinion 644 (August 2014) and Opinion 650 (May 2015)

- See TX Opinion 644 (August 2014) (Can't "screen" a lawyer employee who participated in case)
- and Opinion 650 (May 2015) (may screen non lawyer previous employee who did not work on matter)
- Professional Ethics Committee Opinion 472 (June 1991) (also allows a legal asst to be screened)

Go to TXETHICS.ORG for copies of opinions

- Know whom you represent.
- Get consent in writing.

- If you must withdraw from representing one client, usually you must completely withdraw.

- Don't interview or recruit lawyers involved in the current case. Wait until it's over.

- Remember your fiduciary duty to clients
- Interview well ...discover conflicts early on in the first interview
- Be cognizant of encounter points.
- Get permission/waivers when appropriate.

My New Favorite Quote:

“When education works, behavior changes.”
- *Charles Darwin*

What will you change in your practice?

Questions?

LAWPAY[®]
THE WAY ATTORNEYS GET PAID

