#### **SECTIONS**

#### THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BAR

TO:

Board of Governors Section Chairpersons

(Designated to Receive Public Statements)

FROM:

Carol Ann Cunningham

DATE:

February 27, 1992

SUBJECT:

EMERGENCY PUBLIC STATEMENT regarding Comments on the Proposal to Tax Professional Services in the District of Columbia, Bill 9-454, by the Section on Real Estate, Housing and Land Use

<u>Special 24-hour expedited consideration requested on</u> behalf of the Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section

Enclosed please find for your immediate review a one-page summary of a public statement prepared by the Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section. Copies of the full text will be provided upon request. If you wish to have this matter placed on the next Board of Governors' agenda on March 10, please call me by 2:00 p.m. on Friday, February 28. I can be reached at (202) 331-4364.

Please note that according to the Guidelines regarding public statements (pp. 39-52) your telephone call "must be supplemented by a written objection lodged within seven days of the oral objection."

Enclosures

cc w/full public statement text:
James Robertson, Esq.
Jamie S. Gorelick, Esq.
Michael J. Madigan, Esq.
Eileen Sobeck, Esq.
Susan L. Moss, Esq.
Katherine A. Mazzaferri, Esq.

1707 L Street, N.W. Sixth Floor Washington, D.C. 20036-4203 (202) 331-4364 FAX (202) 828-8572 Sections Infoline (202) 223-7729



Eileen Sobeck Chair, Council on Sections Susan L. Moss Vice Chair, Council on Sections

James Robertson D.C. Bar President Jamie S. Gorelick D.C. Bar President-Elect Katherine A. Mazzaferri D.C. Bar Executive Director

Carol Ann Cunningham Sections Manager

## REAL ESTATE, HOUSING AND LAND USE SECTION

Fing Committee:
Karen A. Hammer, Cochair
Richard A. Newman, Cochair
Cory M. Amron
M. Colleen Daiton
Ronald 5. trarr
Andrea P. Salley
Eric A. Von Salzen



### The District of Columbia Bar

James Robertson
D.C. Bar President
Jamle S. Gorehick
O.C. Bar President idect
Katherine A. Mazzaferri
D.G. Bar Executive Director

Elleen Sobook Chair, Council on Sections Susan L. Moss Vice Chair, Council on Sections Michael J. Madigan Board of Governors Liaison Carol Ann Cunningham Sections Manager

Committees.
Commercial Leasing
Commercial Transactions
Land Use
Publications
Rental Housing
Residential Property

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: D.C. Bar Board of Governors

FROM: Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section

DATE: February 27, 1992

RE: Proposed Public Statement - Tax on Professional

Services

#### Executive Summary

The Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section's comments on the proposed tax on professional services notes that the tax, not mirrored in the suburbs, will likely depress real estate values and encourage professionals to relocate to suburban offices, with the likely result being a net loss in tax revenues to the District because of lost real estate, sales and income taxes.

Your approval is requested.

RAN/1jc

COMMENTS OF THE SECTION ON REAL ESTATE, HOUSING AND LAND USE OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BAR ON THE PROPOSAL TO TAX PROFESSIONAL SERVICES IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Cory M. Amron
M. Colleen Dalton
Ronald S. Gart
Karen A. Hammer
Richard A. Newman, Co-Chair

Steering Committee Members

February 26, 1992

#### STANDARD DISCLAIMER

"The views expressed herein represent only those of the Section on Real Estate, Housing and Land Use of the D.C. Bar and not those of the D.C. Bar or its Board of Governors."

# REAL ESTATE, HOUSING AND LAND USE SECTION

Steering Committee
Raren A. Hammer, Cuchair
Richard A. Newman. Cochair
r dry M. Amron
M. Colleen Dalton
Lightly S. Gart
Solitea P. Salley
Frie A. Von Salzen



### The District of Columbia Bar

James Robertson
O.C. Bar President
Jamie S. Gurelick
D.C. Bar President-Elect
Katherine A. Mazzaferri
O.C. Bar Executive Director -

Fiseen Sobrek
Chair, Council on Sections
Eusan L. Moss
'tee Chair, Council on Sections
Alchael L. Madigan

Aichael J. Madigan Hoard of Governors Liaison

Earol Ann Cunningham Sections Manager

Econorities:

connected teasing
seconories of fransactions
frand Use
reblications
itental Housing

Residential Property

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Sharon Pratt Kelly Mayor District of Columbia

> Mr. John Wilson Chairman

Council of the District of Columbia

FROM: Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section District of Columbia Bar Association 1

DATE: February 26, 1992

RE: Proposal to Tax Professional Services in the District of Columbia

The Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section of the D.C. Bar would like to take this opportunity to submit comments with respect to the pending proposal to impose a tax in the District on professional services, including the services of attorneys. While it is our understanding that other Bar Sections have undertaken to analyze such matters as attorney-client privilege implications, administrative considerations and the like, we would like to point out what we perceive to be potentially unique implications of this proposed tax regime to both our members and their clients and, by extension, to the District's treasury.

As a threshold matter, it should be noted that the Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section of the D.C. Bar represents lawyers located throughout metropolitan area whose practices are often at least regional, if not national in scope. Our members typically handle transactions concerning real estate located in Maryland, the District of Columbia and Virginia, as well as

<sup>&</sup>quot;The views expressed herein represent only those of the Real Estate, Housing and Land Use Section of the District of Columbia Bar and not those of the D.C. Bar or of its Board of Governors."

.7

Mayor Sharon Pratt Kelly Chairman John Wilson February 26, 1992 Page 2

elsewhere in the country, and routinely involve entities structured under the laws of foreign states in the roles of buyer, seller, borrower, lender, etc. Similarly, many of our members practice in law firms which have offices in multiple jurisdictions both throughout the metropolitan area and the rest of the country, and while in general, real estate can be located in a specific jurisdiction, transactions such as transfers of partnership interests, secondary mortgage marketfinancings, and the like are not site specific. Thus, significant problems may arise in attempting to impose a tax relating to real estate transactions, particularly those subject to overlapping or similar taxes imposed in those few other jurisdictions (such as Philadelphia) where such taxes are in place.

In our view, however, the central consideration which we as real estate lawyers feel compelled to bring to the attention to the Board of Governors and to the City Council is the effect that a tax structure such as is proposed would have on our clients and through them on the District's treasury. In our view, the imposition of a tax on professionals practicing in the District would have a significant depressing effect on D.C. real estate values. As you know, the local real estate market is in a major decline, with real estate values having plummeted over the last two years. proposed tax would, of course, be imposed solely on professionals practicing in offices in the District of Columbia; the surrounding suburban jurisdictions do not have parallel taxes and thus in the highly competitive real estate market (and the highly competitive market for real-estate related services) a significant inducement would be created by the adoption of this bill to relocate professionals to suburban office buildings. There are already significant concentrations of law firms and other professionals in this area whose offices are located in suburban Virginia and Maryland and the adoption of this bill would exacerbate that trend. Given the extraordinary communication technology available today, is clearly no longer necessary for attorneys and other professionals to be located in close proximity to one another; thus, there is no reason to expect that relocation of attorneys and other professionals to lower tax jurisdictions would not occur. To the contrary, to the extent that anything can be done to reduce the cost of providing services to our clients, our constituents will undoubtedly pursue those options. Moreover, as is evidenced by the high incidence of market-driven professional lease renegotiations recently reported in the newspapers and the increasingly wide area in the District in which even the most established firms are locating, attorneys and other professionals can and will readily

Mayor Sharon Pratt Kelly Chairman John Wilson February 26, 1992 Page 3

move their offices if it is economically advantageous to do so. This potential out-migration of prospective tenants will clearly undermine demand in an already over-supplied market, thereby adversely effecting real estate values to the detriment of our clients, the owners and lenders of such properties.

The consequence of any such relocation on the District of Columbia's tax base would also be significant. As demand for real estate in the District declines, the value of D.C. real estate will correspondingly decline with the necessary consequence being a reduction in assessed values and therefore a reduction in real estate tax collections. Moreover, to the extent that professionals relocate out of the District, sales taxes and employment related taxes associated with those who provide services to them and their support staffs will correspondingly decline. Thus, in addition to the other highly-disruptive effects that such a tax scheme would cause, it is likely that the final result would be no net gain, and quite possibly a net loss in total tax collections for the District.

We hope these comments are helpful to you in your consideration of this tax proposal.

cc: Section Members

RAN/1jc

10