DIVISION 2: ANTITRUST, TRADE REGULATION AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Thomas M. Susman Chairperson 429-1600

Jeffrey Blumenfeld 724-5778

Ellen Broadman 447-1893 **The District of Columbia Bar**

OF COL

Barry J. Cutler 1426 H STREET, N.W., EIGHTH FLOOR WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 (202) 638-1500

Lawyer Referral and Information Service 638-1509

February 22, 1985

1973

785-3704

Toby G. Singer
724-1087

Anita Johnson

724-6153

William B. Schultz

The Honorable Wilhelmina Rolark Chairperson, Judiciary Committee Council of the District of Columbia District Building, Room 125 1350 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Dear Councilmember Rolark,

We write to add support for efforts to retain adequate funding for the Superior Court's Multi-Door Dispute Resolution Program. On behalf of Division 2 (Antitrust, Trade Regulation and Consumer Affairs) and of its Consumer Affairs Committee, we urge the Judiciary Committee of the Council of the District of Columbia to provide the full \$260,000 for the requested ten positions.

The Multi-Door Dispute Resolution Program recognizes that alternatives to formal litigation may, in many instances, better serve the interests of all parties and provide for more efficient administration of justice. It offers citizens of the District a wider range of problem-solving mechanisms and helps create a better match between citizen disputes and the variety of services available for addressing those disputes.

Standard Disclaimer: The views expressed herein represent only those of Division 2 (Antitrust, Trade Regulation and Consumer Affairs) and of the Consumer Affairs Committee, of the D.C. Bar, and not those of the D.C. Bar or its Board of Governors.

The Honorable Wilhelmina Rolark February 22, 1985
Page Two

Especially for low-income people, litigation expenses may often preclude a full vindication of important legal rights. Even for people of moderate income, the costs and delays of adversary litigation may quickly overwhelm the amount in controversy. The Multi-Door program, on the other hand, offers a more realistic and immediate avenue for pursuit of these lawful claims.

In short, the experiment with the Multi-Door Dispute Resolution Program is well worth extending. It provides a valuable, cost-efficient resource to the community and substantially advances the interests of justice.

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Susman Chairperson, Division 2 Steering Committee

David A. Koplow Chairperson, Consumer Affairs Committee