THIS REPORT IS NOT A FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE*

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS BOARD ON PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Solution of Columbia Solution

In the Matter of:

:

BERNARD GRIMM,

Board Docket No. 21-BD-023

Respondent. : Disciplinary Docket Nos. 2020-D091,

2020-D092 & 2020-D149

A Member of the Bar of the

District of Columbia Court of Appeals:

(Bar Registration No. 378171)

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD ON PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

This matter is before the Board on Professional Responsibility ("Board") on Disciplinary Counsel's Motion to Accept Respondent's Consent to Disbarment filed pursuant to D.C. Bar R. XI, § 12(a) and Board Rule 16.1. Respondent's affidavit of consent to disbarment, executed on May 7, 2021, is attached to Disciplinary Counsel's motion. Disciplinary Counsel's motion consents to Respondent's request that his disbarment be effective on September 1, 2021, to permit him to wind down his practice.

The Board, acting through its Chair, and pursuant to D.C. Bar R. XI, § 12(b) and Board Rule 16.2, has reviewed Respondent's affidavit of consent to disbarment and finds that it conforms to the requirements of D.C. Bar R. XI, § 12(a). Accordingly, the Board recommends that the Court enter an order disbarring

^{*} Consult the 'Disciplinary Decisions' tab on the Board on Professional Responsibility's website (www.dcattorneydiscipline.org) to view any prior or subsequent decisions in this case.

Respondent on consent pursuant to D.C. Bar R. XI, § 12(b), effective September 1,

2021. See, e.g., In re Allen, 50 A.3d 508 (D.C. 2012) (accepting consent to

disbarment effective several months after Disciplinary Counsel's motion).

The Board further recommends that in the Court's order of disbarment,

Respondent be reminded of the provisions of D.C. Bar R. XI, §§ 14 and 16, including

the requirement to file the affidavit under D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g), and that the period

of disbarment will not be deemed to run for purposes of reinstatement until a

compliant affidavit is filed. See D.C. Bar R. XI, § 16(a); In re Slosberg, 650 A.2d

1329, 1331-33 (D.C. 1994).

BOARD ON PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

By: ____

Matthew G. Kaiser

Chair

2