WILLIAM S. STANCIL
Counsellor-at-Law
900 Varney Street, S.E.
suite 128
Washington, D.C. 20032
703-795-9713
wssesq@yahoo.com



Disciplinary Docket No. 2022-D073, 2022-D142

## ANSWER

- 1. I am innocent of the charges being made against me; I plead not guilty; I reject the plea agreement to admit guilt. I have fully cooperated with Disciplinary Counsel in this matter and incorporate by reference my previous responses to specifications.
- 2. The specifications are a grotesque exaggeration, offensive speculation and gross miscarriage of justice. They show that some people in life can't handle being wrong and will do anything to prove their innocent even if they know they are guilty.
- 3. Judges are human and humans make mistakes.
- 4. Neither of the clients referred to in the specifications have expressed dissatisfaction to me with the legal services I provided to them.
- 5. The difficult and challenging times the pandemic caused have to be taken into the consideration in the instant matter. In the instant case, I believe the pandemic affected the temperament of the judicial officers involved.
- 6. I was not the original counsel in either case. In each case, I was attempting to clean up a mess made by previous counsel or previous judges. For the record, no client has

ever come to me with perfect evidence perfectly organized for perfect resolution of their legal matter.

- 7. I should not have to pay for something I did not do.
- 8. As an attorney, I am a public servant, not a perfect servant. I represent all my clients to the best of my ability. When things go sideways, blame can have many origins.
- 9. Given what the clients brought me, I did the best my best.
- 10. People who don't make mistakes and don't allow others to make them should not sit in judgment of human beings.
- 11. The instant matter should be handled and resolved "with malice toward none with charity for all."