

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 18-BG-65

IN RE ROBERT M. SCHULMAN, RESPONDENT.

A Member of the Bar of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals
(Bar Registration No. 376111)

On Report and Recommendation of the Board on Professional
Responsibility Ad Hoc Hearing Committee
Approving Petition for Negotiated Discipline
(DDN 108-17)

(Decided: September 10, 2020)

Before THOMPSON and DEAHL, *Associate Judges*, and NEBEKER, *Senior Judge*

PER CURIAM: This decision is non-precedential. Please refer to D.C. Bar R. XI, § 12.1(d) regarding the appropriate citation of this opinion.

In this disciplinary matter, the Ad Hoc Hearing Committee (the Committee) recommends approval of a petition for negotiated attorney discipline. See D.C. Bar R. XI, § 12.1(c). The petition is based on Respondent's stipulation to misconduct stemming from his convictions for securities fraud, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78ff, and conspiracy to commit securities fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

§ 371.

Respondent acknowledged that he committed a serious crime under D.C. Bar R. XI, § 10 in violation of D.C. Rules of Professional Conduct 8.4(b). The proposed discipline is a three-year suspension *nunc pro tunc* to June 28, 2018, the date he filed his D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g) affidavit with this court, with reinstatement conditioned on a showing of fitness to practice law.

Having reviewed the Committee's recommendation in accordance with our procedures in uncontested disciplinary cases, *see* D.C. Bar R. XI, § 12.1(d), we agree this case is appropriate for negotiated discipline and the proposed disposition is not unduly lenient or inconsistent with dispositions imposed for comparable professional misconduct. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Respondent Robert M. Schulman is hereby suspended from the practice of law for three years *nunc pro tunc* to June 28, 2018, the date he filed his D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g) affidavit with this court, with reinstatement conditioned on a showing of fitness to practice law in accord with D.C. Bar R. XI, § 16 and Board Rule 9.

So ordered.