

**District of Columbia
Court of Appeals**



No. 16-BG-633

In the Matter of
BRANDI S. NAVE
A Suspended Member of the
Bar of the District of Columbia
Court of Appeals
Bar Registration No. 490964

DDN: 234-10, *et al*

BEFORE: Thompson and Beckwith, Associate Judges, and Farrell, Senior
Judge.

ORDER

On consideration of Disciplinary Counsel's motion to remand and respondent's opposition thereto; respondent's petition for immediate reinstatement or in the alternative to supplement her D.C. Bar Rule XI, §14 (g) affidavit *nunc pro tunc* and Disciplinary Counsel's opposition thereto; Disciplinary Counsel's January 12, 2017, and January 9, 2019, Notices of Non-Compliance; and the entire record in this case, it is hereby

ORDERED that Disciplinary Counsel's motion to remand is denied; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that respondent's petition for immediate reinstatement or in the alternative to supplement her D.C. Bar Rule XI, §14 (g) affidavit *nunc pro tunc* is denied. Any dispute about the adequacy of respondent's D.C. Bar Rule XI, §14 (g) affidavit must be resolved by the Board on Professional Responsibility in the first instance. It is

FURTHER ORDERED by the court *sua sponte* that respondent must demonstrate fitness prior to reinstatement. *See* D.C. Bar Rule XI, § 3 (a)(2) ("Any order of suspension may include a requirement that the attorney furnish proof of rehabilitation as a condition of reinstatement."); *id.*, § 16 (f) ("The Court may impose such other conditions on reinstatement as it deems appropriate.").

PER CURIAM

No. 16-BG-633

Copies e-served to:

Raouf M. Abdullah, Esquire

James T. Phalen, Esquire
Executive Attorney
Board on Professional Responsibility

Hamilton P. Fox, Esquire
Disciplinary Counsel

oio